

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO).	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/621,281		07/20/2000	Dong-Hoon Lee	3430-0126P	4261	
2292	7590	07/12/2004		EXAMINER		
		RT KOLASCH &	NGUYEN, HOAN C			
	PO BOX 747 FALLS CHURCH, VA 22040-0747			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	-			2871		
				DATE MAILED: 07/12/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Applicati n N .	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	09/621,281	LEE, DONG-HOON	
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit	
	HOAN C. NGUYEN	2871	
Th MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears n the cover sh et with th	rrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 22 June 2004 FAILS TO PLACE TH Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to average final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this applica) a timely filed amendment whicl	ation. A proper repl n places the applica	y to a ition in
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of the under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Officimely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Officimely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment.	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE date on which the petition under 37 CF of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply ce later than three months after the mai	g date of the final rejecting FINAL REJECTION. R 1.136(a) and the approperture of the fee. The appropriginally set in the final	on. See MPEP opriate extension ropriate extension Office action; or
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF			
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:		
(a) they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);	
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	pelow);		
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or si	mplifying the
(d) they present additional claims without canceli NOTE:	ng a corresponding number of f	inally rejected claim	S.
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	tion(s):		
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed	amendment
5. ☑ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☑ request for application in condition for allowance because: Se		dered but does NO	T place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered bec raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY t	o issues which wer	e newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we			and an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-5, 7-13 and 15-17</u> .		1	
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) app	roved or b)☐ disapproved by t	he Examiner.	
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s). _y		
10. Other:		ROBERT H. KHW	
		RVISON PATENT EX	
	TE(CHNOLOGY CENTER	2800

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed on June 11, 2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant's ONLY arguments are follows:

Taiji fails to pertain to a transflective liquid crystal display device; and Taiji pertains to a translucent liquid crystal device having a diffusing plate. Taiji therefore represents non-analogous art.

Examiner's responses to Applicants' ONLY arguments are follows:

Transflective defines as partially reflective and partially transmissive. Therefore, Taiji discloses a transflective liquid crystal display device since the diffusing plate can be partially reflective and partially transmissive, which depends on distribution of concentration of the reflective material scattering based on the graph of Fig. 3. Taiji therefore represents analogous art.

However, the diffusing plate of Taiji comprising the reflective material made of Ag or Al as transflective film of the instant application. Therefore, the plate comprising the reflective material made of Ag or Al operates as BOTH diffusion and transflection.

Furthermore, milky-white acrylic resin is transmissive with diffusion. If milky-white acrylic resin is not transparent, the light source cannot pass the light through the liquid crystal display panel 1; and the device of Taiji could not function. .